karkKis Umnjugi SEE DIGHTOT COURT E.D.N.Y.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JUL 18 2007

-----x Index RESOKLYN OFFICE , an infant under the

age of 18 years, by his father and natural

guardian, DUKE JURDINE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

JURY DEMAND

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, POLICE OFFICER JOSEPH SEMINARA (Shield # 29512) and POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE 1-4,

AMON, J.

Defendants.

ORENSTEIN, M.J.

an infant under the age of 18 years, by his father and natural guardian, DUKE JURDINE, and by his attorney(s), THE LAW OFFICES OF WALE MOSAKU, P.C., complaining of the defendants, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, POLICE OFFICER JOSEPH SEMINARA (Shield # 29512), and POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE 1-4 (hereinafter collectively and individually referred to as the Defendant(s)), upon information and belief alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action at law to redress the deprivation of rights secured to 1. the plaintiff under color of statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, and/or to redress the deprivation of rights, privileges, and immunities secured to the plaintiff by the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, and by Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [and § 1985], [and arising under the law and statutes of the State of New York].

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Court in invoked pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 2. Section 1983, 28 U.S.C. Section 1343, and 28 U.S.C. Section 1331,

- and under the Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- All causes of action not relying exclusively on the aforementioned federal causes of action as a basis of this Court's jurisdiction are based on the Court's supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 to hear state law causes of action. The events, parties, transactions, and injuries that form the basis of plaintiff's federal claims are identical to the events, parties, transactions, and injuries that form the basis of plaintiff's claims under applicable State and City laws.
- 4. As the deprivation of rights complained of herein occurred within the Eastern District of New York, venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 (b) and (c).

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Jack is a resident of the County of Kings,
 City and State of New York, United States of America. Plaintiff is an
 infant black male.
- 6. At all relevant times, defendant POLICE OFFICER JOSEPH SEMINARA and POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE 1-4 (hereinafter "defendant officers") were, upon information and belief, and still are, police officers employed and/or stationed at the 67th precinct of the Police Department of the City of New York.
- 7. At all times herein, defendant officers were employed as police officers of the City of New York, State of New York, and were acting under the color of their official capacity, and their acts were performed under color of the statutes and ordinances of the City of New York and/or the State of New York. Defendant officers were the servants, agents and employees of his/their co-defendant, the City of New York, so that said officers acts are imputed to the City of New York and its Police Department.

- 8. At all relevant times, the defendant City of New York was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and was/is the employer of the defendant officers through it's Police Department, namely New York City Police Department, and the actions of the defendant officers complained of herein were done as part of the custom, practice, usage, regulation and/or direction of the City of New York.
- Plaintiff sues all defendants in their individual and official capacities.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 10. Upon information and belief, on or about November 17, 2006, between the hours of 06:30 p.m. and 07:30 p.m., at or within the premises known and described as 1353 New York Avenue, in the County of Kings, City of New York, the plaintiff was falsely arrested without probable cause by the defendant officers.
- Defendant officers, without justification or any reasonable basis, searched plaintiff at the above-referenced scene, found nothing illegal on the plaintiff, but nevertheless placed plaintiff under arrest.
- 12. There was absolutely no probable cause for the defendant officers to arrest the plaintiff.
- Furthermore, at the time of his arrest, the handcuffs were applied too tightly on the plaintiff's wrists by the arresting officers, causing pain, suffering and physical injury to the plaintiff.
- 14. Plaintiff was innocent of any offenses or crimes suspected, and was forced by the defendants to submit to this arrest and imprisonment, and indignities and humiliation, entirely against his will.
- Subsequently, and despite the lack of any credible evidence that would reasonably justify the arrest of the plaintiff, the defendants nevertheless proceeded, maliciously and without just cause, to arrest and imprison the plaintiff.
- 16. At the time plaintiff was arrested, he protested to and informed defendant officers that he had committed no crime or offense and

- offered to prove his innocence. However the defendant officers refused to pay any attention to plaintiff's entreaties, and arrested plaintiff in wanton and malicious disregard for the truth.
- 17. At the police 67th police precinct of the city of New York, the plaintiff was fingerprinted and photographed.
- 18. That on November 18, 2006, the plaintiff was issued with a desk appearance ticket by defendant officer Joseph Seminara, which mandated his appearance at "Brooklyn Family Court" on November 21, 2006.
- 19. That on November 21, 2006 the plaintiff dutifully appeared at the "Brooklyn Family Court".
- 20. That on November 21, 2007, at the "Brooklyn Family Court" the plaintiff was instructed to return to the "Brooklyn Family Court" on or about December 4, 2006.
- 21. That the plaintiff dutifully returned to the "Brooklyn Family Court" on or about December 4, 2007.
- 22. That on or about April 4, 2007, the defendant City of New York's Law Department forwarded a "Notice of Declination" to the infant plaintiff and his mother, which stated, inter alia, that the NYC Corporation Counsel (prosecutor) had determined that a petition would not be filed against the plaintiff.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: FALSE ARREST AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT

- 23. By this reference, plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation and averment set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. The arrest, detention and imprisonment of plaintiff were without just or probable cause and without any warrant or legal process directing or authorizing the plaintiff's arrest or subsequent detention.
- As a result of plaintiff's false arrest and imprisonment, he has been caused to suffer humiliation, great mental and physical anguish, embarrassment and scorn among those who know him, was prevented

- from attending to his necessary affairs, and has been caused to incur legal expenses, and has been otherwise damaged in his character and reputation.
- 26. Consequently, plaintiff has been damaged and hereby demands compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial against each of the defendants, individually and severally.
- 27. The defendant officers were at all material times acting within the scope of their employment, and as such, the defendant City is vicariously liable for the defendant officers acts as described above.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS: ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- 28. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 27 as if each paragraph is repeated verbatim herein.
- 29. At the time of plaintiff's arrest by defendant officers, plaintiff did not challenge nor resist the defendant officers, nor engage in any threatening behavior towards the defendant officers.
- 30. However, the defendant officers assaulted plaintiff, battered plaintiff, and subjected plaintiff to excessive force and summary punishment
- The defendant officers were at all material times acting within the scope of their employment, and as such, the defendant City is vicariously liable for the defendant officers acts as described above.
- 32. Consequently, the plaintiff has been damaged and hereby demands compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial against each of the defendants, individually and severally.
- This action, upon information and belief, falls within one or more of the exceptions of CPLR 1602.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS: NEGLIGENCE

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 54 as if each paragraph is repeated verbatim herein.
- As a direct and proximate result of the negligent acts of Defendant City of New York as set forth herein, plaintiff suffered physical

- injury, conscious pain and suffering, medical expenses, lost wages, and severe mental anguish.
- 36. That by reason of the said negligence, plaintiff suffered and still suffers bodily injuries, became sick, sore, lame and disabled and has remained sick, sore, lame and disabled since the aforesaid incident; has suffered great pain, agony and mental anguish and is informed and verily believes that he will continue to suffer for a long time to come and that said injuries are permanent; has suffered economic loss inasmuch as he was forced to, and is still forced to expend sums of money on medical treatment; that he was deprived of his pursuits and interests and verily believes that in the future he will continue to be deprived of such pursuits; and that said injuries are permanent.
- 37. The defendant officers were at all material times acting within the scope of their employment, and as such, the defendant City is vicariously liable for the defendant officers acts as described above.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS: DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

- 38. By this reference, plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation and averment set forth in paragraphs 1 through 37 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. The defendant officers acted under color of law, in their official capacity, and their acts were performed under color of the statutes and ordinances, customs and practices of the City of New York.
- 40. The conduct of the defendants deprived plaintiff of the following rights, privileges and immunities secured to him by the Constitution of the United States:
 - (a) The right of plaintiff to be secure in his person and effects against unreasonable search and seizure under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.
 - (b) The right of the plaintiff to be promptly informed of the nature and cause of the accusation against him, secured to him under the Sixth

- and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.
- (c) The right of plaintiff not to be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, and the right to the equal protection of the laws, secured to him by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.
- (d) The right to be free from unreasonable detention and/or continued detention without probable cause in that plaintiff was detained for approximately one (1) day.
- (e) The right to be free from the perpetration of excessive force upon him.
- 41. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendant officers, as police officers of the City of New York, were acting under the direction and control of defendant City of New York, and were acting pursuant to the official policy, practice, or custom of the City of New York. The allegations in this paragraph are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.
- 42. Acting under color of law and pursuant to official policy, practice, or custom, defendant City of New York intentionally, knowingly, negligently and recklessly failed to instruct, supervise, control, and discipline, on a continuing basis, defendant officers in their duties, to refrain from unlawfully and maliciously arresting and imprisoning citizens. The allegations in this paragraph are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.
- 43. Acting under color of law and pursuant to official policy, practice, or custom, defendant City of New York intentionally, knowingly, negligently and recklessly failed to instruct, train, and supervise defendant officers on a continuing basis in the correct procedure for making an arrest. The allegations in this paragraph are likely to have

- evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.
- Defendant City of New York had knowledge, or, had they diligently exercised their duties to instruct, supervise, control, and discipline on a continuing basis, should have had knowledge that the wrongs which were done, as heretofore alleged, were about to be committed.

 Defendant City of New York had power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of said wrongs, could have done so by reasonable diligence, and intentionally, knowingly, negligently or recklessly failed or refused to do so. The allegations in this paragraph are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.
- 45. Defendant City of New York, directly or indirectly, under color of law, approved or ratified the unlawful, deliberate, malicious, reckless, and wanton conduct of defendant officers as heretofore described. The allegations in this paragraph are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of the defendants as set forth herein, plaintiff suffered physical injury, medical expenses, lost wages, and severe mental anguish in connection with the deprivation of his constitutional rights guaranteed by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and protected by 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- The acts of the defendants as set forth above were wanton, malicious, and oppressive, thus entitling plaintiff to an award of punitive and compensatory damages against each of them, individually and severally.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully prays judgment as follows:

1. For compensatory damages against all defendants in an amount to be proven at trial;

- 2. For exemplary and punitive damages against all defendants in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 3. For costs of suit herein, including plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees; and;
- 4. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York July 17, 2007

LAW OFFICES OF WALE MOSAKU, P

By:

Wale Mosaku, Esq. (AM 5872)

Attorney for the Plaintiff 25 Bond Street, 3rd Floor Brooklyn, N.Y. 11201

(718) 243-0994

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHE

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing are the cover sheet and the co

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | | DEFENDANTS | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|--|---|--------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| an infant under the age of 18 years, by his father and natural guardian, Duke Jurdine | | | | The City of New York, Police Officer Joseph Seminara (Shield #29512), and Police Officers John Doe 1-4 | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings | | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant New York | | | | |
| (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | | County of Residence | | | | |
| | | | | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND COLD WATER ASSET USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVE AND INVOLVE AN | | | | |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) | | | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | |
| Law Offices of Wale Mosaku, P.C., 25 Bond Street, 3rd Floor, Brook | | | | | | | | |
| New York 11201 - (718) | жіуш, | New York City Law Department, 100 Church Street, New York, N.Y. 10007 | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD | | in One Box Only) | III. C | | PDINCIP | DREMS | Place an K' il One Box for Plaintiff | |
| U.S. Government Plaintiff | Federal Question (U.S. Government) | | | (For Diversity Cases Only) | TF DEF | Incorporated or Pi | and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place | |
| 2 U.S. Government | CI (pr | İ | | | | of Business In Thi | is State | |
| Defendant | 4 Diversity | | Citize | en of Another State | 72 72 | Incorporated and I | Principal Place 5 5 5 | |
| | (Indicate Citizens | hip of Parties in Item III) | Citize | en or Subject of a | J 3 🗖 3 | of Business In . | | |
| IV NATURE OF CUIT | P | | | reign Country | | Toleign Nation | 06 06 | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | Place an "X" in One Box O | nly) | Eleon | DELETE A MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| ☐ 110 Insurance | PERSONAL INJURY | PERSONAL INJURY | | FEITURE/PENALTY 10 Agriculture | | KRUPTCY | OTHERSTATUTES | |
| ☐ 120 Marine | ☐ 310 Airplane | 362 Personal Injury - | | 20 Other Food & Drug | 422 Appe | al 28 USC 158 Trawal | ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust | |
| ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument | ☐ 315 Airplane Product | Med. Malpractice | □ 6: | 25 Drug Related Seizure | 28 US | | 430 Banks and Banking | |
| 150 Recovery of Overpayment | Liability 320 Assault, Libel & | ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability | П. | of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws | | | ☐ 450 Commerce | |
| & Enforcement of Judgment | Slander | 368 Asbestos Personal | ☐ 64 | 40 R.R. & Truck | ☐ 820 Copy | rights | ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and | |
| ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted | ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability | Injury Product | | 50 Airline Regs. | 330 Paten | t | Corrupt Organizations | |
| Student Loans | 340 Marine | Liability PERSONAL PROPERT | v 🗆 60 | 60 Occupational Safety/Health | 3 840 Trade | mark | 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV | |
| (Excl. Veterans) | ☐ 345 Marine Product | 370 Other Fraud | | 90 Other | | | ☐ 810 Selective Service | |
| ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits | Liability 350 Motor Vehicle | ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal | | LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards | | SECURITY | ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ | |
| 160 Stockholders' Suits | ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle | Property Damage | ' ' | Act | ☐ 861 HIA (☐ 862 Black | | Exchange 875 Customer Challenge | |
| ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability | Product Liability 360 Other Personal | ☐ 385 Property Damage | | 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations | □ 863 DIW | C/DIWW (405(g)) | 12 USC 3410 | |
| ☐ 196 Franchise | Injury | Product Liability | | 30 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act | ☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (4 | | 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETITIONS | | 10 Railway Labor Act | | I. TAX SUITS | 892 Economic Stabilization Act | |
| ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure | ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment | 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence | | O Other Labor Litigation U Empl. Ret. Inc. | | (U.S. Plaintiff | B93 Environmental Matters | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | 443 Housing/ | Habeas Corpus: | 10 " | Security Act | or Defe ☐ 871 IRS— | | ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information | |
| 240 Torts to Land | Accommodations | ☐ 530 General | | • | 26 US | | Act | |
| ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property | 444 Welfare445 Amer. w/Disabilities - | 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other | . | | | | 900Appeal of Fee Determination | |
| , | Employment | ☐ 550 Civil Rights | • | | | | Under Equal Access to Justice | |
| | 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - | 555 Prison Condition | | | | | 950 Constitutionality of | |
| 1 | 440 Other Civil Rights | | - | | | | State Statutes | |
| 🗷 l Orfginal 🗍 2 Re | | Remanded from | IZC1119 | tated or 🗀 🤼 another | I GISHIOL | □6 _{Multidistri} | Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate | |
| Proceeding Sta | | Appellate Court | Reope filing (F | ened (specif | y) Letetutes : | Litigation | Judgment | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | N Brief description of ca | tute under which you are | inng (r | | | iess diversity): | | |
| | False Arrest, Fals | se Imprisonment, Ma | licious | Prosecution | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes No | | | | | | | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | (S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | | DOCKET | NUMBER | | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF ATTO | RNEY Ø | F RECORD | •. | | | |
| 07/18/2007 | | AN | (() | 051 | , | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | -) - | <u> </u> | 7 | | | | |
| RECEIPT # AN | 10UNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE <u>(</u> | CBA | MAG, JUDO | GE 30 | |

07002915

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

| I, WALE MOSAKU , counsel for PLAIN certify pursuant to the Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 that to the be recoverable in the above captioned civil action exceed the sum of Relief other than monetary damages is sought. | TIFFdo hereby est of my knowledge and belief the damages f \$150,000 exclusive of interest and costs. |
|--|---|
| DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL I | |
| identity any parent corporation and any parents, | |
| Please refer to NY-E Division of Business Rule 50.1(d)(2) | |
| 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District of New Y in Nassau or Suffolk County: NO | ork removed from a New York State court located |
| 2.) If you answered "no" above: | |
| a.) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or cl or Suffolk County? NO | aims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau |
| b.) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or cl Eastern District? YES | aims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the |
| If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader a claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk | ction, does the claimant (or a majority of the |
| (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the C | ounty in which it has the most significant contacts). |
| I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York bar of this court. | and currently a member in good standing of the |
| Yes | No |
| Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action(s) in | this or any other state or federal court? |
| Yes(If yes, please explain) | No |
| | |
| Please provide your E-MAIL Address and bar code below. You name and the last four digits of your social security number or a with the Clerk of Court. | iny other four digit number registered by the therms, |
| (This information must be provided pursuant to local rule 11.1(| b) of the civil rules). |
| ATTORNEY BAR CODE: AM5872 | |
| E-MAIL Address: WMOSAKU@JUNO.COM | |
| I consent to the use of electronic filing procedures adopted by t Electronic Filing Procedures (EFP)", and consent to the electronic | he Court in Administrative Order No. 97-12, "In re sic service of all papers. |
| Signature: | |